Docket No. 8X8S.261PA OPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

CRAWFORD PLLC

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

United States Patent Application

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.63

		3	2.00
As a below named inven	tor I hereby declare that: my re	sidence, post office add	dress and citizenship are as stated below next to my
I verily believe I am the are named below) of the subject nand Apparatus Therefor. The specification of which a is attached hereto b is entitled Data Security No was filed on as application described and claimed in internation.	natter which is claimed and for value of the second	which a patent is sough or, having attorney do nded on (if applical	ted below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors at on the invention entitled: Data Security Method cket number 8X8S.261PA. ble) (in the case of a PCT-filed application) which I have reviewed and for which I solicit a
I acknowledge the duty to disclose of federal Regulations, § 1.56 (att I hereby claim foreign priority ber certificate listed below and have a that of the application on the basis a. In no such applications have been such applications have been	e information which is material trached hereto). nefits under Title 35, United Status identified below any foreign of which priority is claimed: een filed.	to the patentability of the test Code. \$ 119/365 of	pecification, including the claims, as amended by this application in accordance with Title 37, Code any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's or inventor's certificate having a filing date before
FOR	EIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, C	CLAIMING PRIORITY UN	NDER 35 USC § 119
CÕÉNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
ALL FORE	IGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FI	LED BEFORE THE PRIO	ORITY APPI ICATION(S)
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
manner provided by the first parage	natter of each of the claims of the raph of Title 35, United States (al Regulations, § 1.56(a) which (all Regulations)	is application is not dis Code, § 112. Lacknowle	tates and PCT international application(s) listed sclosed in the prior United States application in the edge the duty to disclose material information as iling date of the prior application and the national
U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER DATE OF FILING (STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)
I hereby claim the benefit under Ti	itle 35, United States Code § 119	9(e) of any United State	es provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)

I hereby authorize personnel at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Crawford PLLC at the address indicated below:

Crawford PLLC 1270 Northland Drive Suite 390 St. Paul, MN 55120

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name BARRETT	First Given Name JOHN	Second Given Name L.
C	Residence & Citizenship	City SAN JOSE	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship USA
1	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 101 GLEN EYRIE, #206	City SAN JOSE	State & Zip Code/Country CA/95125/USA
·Signa	nture of Inventor 2	on Beit		Date: 7-23-4

§ 156 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of cander and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
- (1) Each inventor named in the application:

annia annia annia annia annia anni ... annia ... annia ... annia ... annia ... annia annia

- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.